

The relief described hereinbelow is SO ORDERED.

Signed May 14, 2025.

Ronald B. King ()
United States Bankruptcy Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

| KRISJENN RANCH, LLC, ET AL, DEBTOR DEBTOR KRISJENN RANCH, LLC, ET AL, PLAINTIFFS, PLAINTIFFS, MADVERSARY NO. 20-5027-RBK V. DEFENDANTS. S DEFENDANTS. | IN RE: | § | |
|--|------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| DEBTOR \$ CHAPTER 11 KRISJENN RANCH, LLC, ET AL, \$ PLAINTIFFS, \$ ADVERSARY NO. 20-5027-RBK V. DMA PROPERTIES, INC., ET AL, \$ | | § | |
| KRISJENN RANCH, LLC, ET AL, PLAINTIFFS, PLAINTIFFS, SADVERSARY NO. 20-5027-RBK V. SBURGER SANCENTIES, INC., ET AL, SBURGER SANCENTIES, I | KRISJENN RANCH, LLC, ET AL, | § | CASE NO. 20-50805-RBK |
| KRISJENN RANCH, LLC, ET AL, PLAINTIFFS, PLAINTIFFS, SADVERSARY NO. 20-5027-RBK V. SBURGER SANCENTIES, INC., ET AL, SBURGER SANCENTIES, I | | § | |
| PLAINTIFFS, \$ ADVERSARY NO. 20-5027-RBK V. \$ DMA PROPERTIES, INC., ET AL, \$ \$ | DEBTOR | § | CHAPTER 11 |
| PLAINTIFFS, \$ ADVERSARY NO. 20-5027-RBK V. \$ DMA PROPERTIES, INC., ET AL, \$ \$ | | § | |
| PLAINTIFFS, \$ ADVERSARY NO. 20-5027-RBK V. \$ DMA PROPERTIES, INC., ET AL, \$ \$ | | | |
| V. \$ ADVERSARY NO. 20-5027-RBK V. \$ | KRISJENN RANCH, LLC, ET AL, | § | |
| V. \$ ADVERSARY NO. 20-5027-RBK V. \$ | | § | |
| V. \$ \$ DMA PROPERTIES, INC., ET AL, \$ \$ | PLAINTIFFS, | § | |
| DMA PROPERTIES, INC., ET AL, \$ \$ \$ | | § | ADVERSARY NO. 20-5027-RBK |
| § | V. | § | |
| § | | § | |
| DEFENDANTS. § | DMA PROPERTIES, INC., ET AL, | § | |
| DEFENDANTS. § | | § | |
| | DEFENDANTS. | § | |

ORDER DENYING "LARRY WRIGHT'S RULE 9024 MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM CONFLICTING FINAL JUDGMENT AND 7054 ORDER"

On May 14, 2025, came on to be heard "Larry Wright's Rule 9024 Motion for Relief from Conflicting Final Judgment and 7054 Order" (the "Motion").

Defendant, DMA Properties, Inc. ("<u>DMA</u>") and Third-party defendant, Larry Wright ("<u>Wright</u>"), appeared by and through counsel of record and announced ready. After reviewing the Motion, the response, the documents on file in the adversary proceeding at the time of the hearing, and after hearing the argument of counsel, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion should be denied, for the reasons stated in the findings of fact and conclusions of law stated on the record, pursuant to FED. R. BANKR. P. 7052 and 9014.

It is hereby **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED**, **AND DECREED** that the Motion is hereby **DENIED**.

###